

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
09/674,289	10/30/2000	TEGI WAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		Tapani Vuorinen	LAIN-033	6903
20374 7590 11/17/2004 KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK			EXAMINER	
SUITE 710	& KOBOACIK		ALVO, MARC S	
900 17TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	DC 20000		1731	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/674,289	VUORINEN, TAPANI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steve Alvo	1731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	September 2004.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		11, 100 0.0. 210.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,10,12-20 and 22-28</u> is/are pe	nding in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,10,12-20 and 22-28</u> is/are rej	☐ Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,10,12-20 and 22-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· ·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r	pplication No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/674,289

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-7, 10, 12-14, 19-20 and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MIYAMOTO et al et al in view of ASHTON et al and RHA or the ADMITTED PRIOR ART (specification, page 1, lines 14-15) with or without HASSI et al.

MIYAMOTO et al et al teaches forming a fiber suspension, adding CMC having a D.S of 0.3 (column 4, line 37) and a degree of polymerization of 2000 (column 3, line 69) to modify the properties of the fibers and drying the fiber material, e.g. paper machine to make a paper. It would have been obvious to add the CMC of MIYAMOTO et al et al could be under alkaline conditions as ASHTON et al teaches that the pH is not important and can be 9.0 (column 3, lines 30-31). RHA et al teaches adding sizing agents, e.g. CMC, to paper pulp suspensions and teaches that the sizing agent can be added during the beating stage. It would have been obvious to the artisan to add the sizing agent of BATES et al during the beating stage as taught by RHA et al. Or the ADMITTED PRIOR ART teaches that it is known to add polymers prior to the paper machine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the CMC of BATES could have been added prior to the paper machine as the addition of additive to the slurry prior to paper formation is well known in the art as evidenced by the ADMITTED PRIOR ART. It would have been obvious to the routineer that the sizing agent also acts to bond the fibers as MIYAMOTO et al et al teaches that the modifying agents increase

Application/Control Number: 09/674,289

Art Unit: 1731

the mechanical properties, column 3, lines 1-4 and ASHTON teaches that it produces high strength papers (column 1, lines 15-20). If this is not obvious then HASSI teaches that sizing agents such as CMC also act as bonding agents.

Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MIYAMOTO et al et al in view of ASHTON et al and RHA or the ADMITTED PRIOR ART (specification, page 1, lines 14-15) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of HASSI.

HASSI teaches that sizing agents such as CMC could be added during an alkaline peroxide bleach stage. It would have been obvious to add the modifying agents (sizing agents) of BATES during a peroxide bleach stage as such is taught by HASSI.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MIYAMOTO et al et al in view of ASHTON et al with or without HASSI as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of RHA et al.

RHA et al teaches adding sizing agents, e.g. CMC, to paper pulp suspensions and teaches that the sizing agent can be added during the beating stage. It would have been obvious to the artisan to add the sizing agent of BATES et al during the beating stage as taught by RHA et al.

Claim 1 would be given favorable consideration it rewritten as follows:

Claim 1, line 4, change "raw material" to --pulp--; lines 6 and 13, after "cellulose" add --pulp--; line 12, delete "an alkyl derivative of cellulose selected from"; line 18, change "the derivative is allowed to" to --at least 10% of the carboxymethylcellulose is--; line 21, change "cellulose derivative" to "carboxymethylcellulose".

Art Unit: 1731

None of the prior art teach modifying cellulose pulp fibers with soluble carboxymethylcellulose, having a DS 0.1 to 0.4 and a polymerization degree of about 600-5000, which is dissolved in an alkaline solution and then mixed into the fiber suspension at alkaline conditions, and at least 10% of the carboxymethylcellulose is bonded to the cellulose pulp fibers prior to the fibers being formed into a web and can not be washed off to produce a modified fiber product having strength suitable for printing paper and packaging material.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 571-272-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steve Alvo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731